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• Chapter I: The new astrometry
Realization/materialization of the reference frame that, depending on the accuracy needed (i.e., on the physics utilized) would be called inertial/absolute/non-rotating or, simply, local (reference) frame: Astronomical catalogs (catalog astronomy)

Deep space navigation cannot do without astronomical catalogs.

Pointing and operation of the largest ground-based and space-borne observatories like the HST
Key words are:

- Access to all directions (All-sky)
- Access to faint magnitudes (Densification, Completeness)
The GSC2.3 all-sky catalog

Released to the community in 2006 and published in the Summer of 2008 is made of

1 billion objects complete to the red magnitude $R=20$.

(Lasker, MGL et al. 2008)

The most detailed view of the Milky Way at optical wavelengths, to date!

Also USNOB!
\[
\text{And the faintest materialization of the ICRF reference frame in the visible domain}
\]

Errors in the materialization of the reference frame. Random Part (precision)

Errors in the materialization of the reference frame. Systematic Part (accuracy)

Astrometry is also the science of measuring distances to celestial objects. Its fundamental potential for astrophysics comes from its ability to contribute to the direct, i.e. model independent, calibration of radiant and gravitational energy, the two forms of energy that dominate in the universe.

However, stars are far away and their angular motions are small as they decrease with the inverse of distance itself.

Earth's atmosphere is then a serious limitation for accurate distances and if astrometry is to move away from the immediate solar neighborhood, it is essential to go into space, posing tremendous technological challenges.
The Hipparcos mission

Hipparcos-like astrometric solution: formulation

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\text{Observed location of image in pixel stream}
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
\text{Star position on sky}
\end{pmatrix}
+ \begin{pmatrix}
\text{instrument attitude}
\end{pmatrix}
+ \begin{pmatrix}
\text{CCD / pixel offset}
\end{pmatrix}
+ \text{noise}
\]

- 5 astrometric parameters
  \( (\alpha_0, \delta_0, \pi_0, \mu_\alpha, \mu_\delta) \)
  (radial velocity assumed known)

- Quaternion \( q(i) \) represented by cubic spline coefficients

- White Gaussian, known \( \sigma \)

- Geometric calibration

Symbolically: \( O = f(S, A, C) + n \)
No. of objects measured: 118 218
Limiting magnitude: $V< 12.4$ (complete to 9)
Median precision on position : 0.77 / 0.64 mas
Median precision on parallax: 0.97 mas
Median precision on proper motion: 0.88 / 0.74 mas
With the success of the **Hipparcos mission** the New Astrometry establishes itself as indispensable in modern astronomy because of:

increasing emphasis on the fundamental contribution that it can bring to the solution of the open problems in modern astrophysics (stellar and galactic).

A new tradition is established that hinges on one more key word: **ACCURACY**!
• Chapter II: Astrophysical astrometry
What is modern astrometry to do?........
Measure fundamental quantities independently from models:

• Distance → energetics, initial/boundary condition for Milky Way dynamics

• Position (angular): accurate alignment of emissions at different wavelengths → testing structural and energy models of active extragalactic objects

• Mass (to 3%) → stellar models, characterization of extrasolar planets

• Photospheric dimensions → stellar models

• Velocity → dynamical models, initial/boundary conditions for the dynamics of the Milky Way
Examples of “astrophysical astrometry”: Galactic and extragalactic!
Model independent characterization of galactic and extra-galactic phenomena, which are often faint at optical wavelengths.

Absolute registration of HST images

………Importance of densification
Neutron star nature: the braking number of Geminga

INS, radio quiet

237 msec pulse in X and gamma rays

A huge (10) mag gap!

Caraveo, MGL, et al. 1998)
Quantity: $P/\dot{P}$ has dimensions of time and is obviously related to the `age' of the pulsar.

$$\Omega = \frac{2\pi}{P} \quad \frac{d\Omega}{dt} = -k\Omega^n$$

$k$ is a positive constant, $n$ is a constant called the \textbf{braking index} that describes how the pulsar spins down. Simplest model for pulsar radiation predicts that the braking index $n = 3$.

$$\tau = \frac{1}{1 - n \dot{\Omega}} \quad \rightarrow \quad \tau = \frac{1}{n - 1} \frac{P}{\dot{P}}$$

$$n = \frac{\Omega \ddot{\Omega}}{\dot{\Omega}^2} \quad \text{Model independent determination of } n$$
### Neutron star nature: the braking number of Geminga – Cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>step</th>
<th>telescope/detector</th>
<th>field of view</th>
<th>primary grid</th>
<th>secondary grid</th>
<th>$\epsilon_r$</th>
<th>$\epsilon_{tr}$</th>
<th>mag</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[N. images]</td>
<td></td>
<td>[epoch]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>step 1</td>
<td>OATo 38cm refractor</td>
<td>70’ x 70’</td>
<td>19 Tycho/PPM</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0”.102</td>
<td>0”.044</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>plate, 30”/mm</td>
<td>[1984.19]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>step 2</td>
<td>OATo 105cm reflector</td>
<td>30’ x 30’</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>plate, 20”/7/mm</td>
<td>[1984.19]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>step 3</td>
<td>OATo 105cm reflector</td>
<td>9’ x 10’</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CCD, 0”.48/px</td>
<td>[1996.13]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>step 4</td>
<td>ESO NTT 3.5m, SUSI</td>
<td>2.5’ x 2.5’</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CCD 0”.13/px</td>
<td>[1992.86]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>step 5</td>
<td>HST 2.4m, PC2</td>
<td>35” x 35”</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Geminga</td>
<td>[0.005]</td>
<td>[0.003]</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CCD, 0”.046/px</td>
<td>[1995.21]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Absolute registration of HST images - Seyfert 2 galaxies

HST

OATo REOSC

NGC5929

Seyfert 2
Seyfert 2 Galaxy, NGC5929

To obtain accurate absolute astrometry of Hubble Space Telescope images (visible). Ground based images are used to link HST images to standard astrometric stars defining the optical frame of reference. The method was used to register optical images of the Seyfert 2 galaxy NGC 5929 to the corresponding radio maps, allowing the exploration of the relationship between radio and optical emission within the galaxy.

Registration to 100 mas

(MGL, Capetti, Macchetto, 1997)
NGC1068

Class prototype Seyfert 2 Galaxy

(Capetti, Macchetto, MGL, Apj, 1997)
Supporting Unified Model for Seyfert galaxies!

NGC1068

6cm MERLIN overlaid to [OIII]-line HST image

The field of view is 4” x 4”!!
And, this is what we wish for the Milky Way:

a full 6-dimensional snapshot!
With the success of the Hipparcos mission, space astrometry demonstrated its technological maturity.

On the brink of the new century, space had become the new frontier for precision astrometry; the oldest branch of astronomy was finding itself at the forefront of 21st science and technology.
Three space astrometry missions are scheduled to be launched over the next two to three years, with the ESA mission Gaia aiming at the highest precision of approximately 7 micro-arcsec, more than 100 times better than Hipparcos!

- Nano-JASMINE, 2012, Japan - Hipparcos-class
- JMAP, 2012 (?), USA DoD - Hipparcos class
- Gaia, 2013, ESA - 100x-Hipparcos mission!
**Gaia: 21st century space astrometry.**

Bringing together “all sky access (+completeness)”, “faint limit”, and “accuracy”.

---

**Fig. 13.** GSC 2.3 all-sky map. Cumulative counts in galactic coordinates, including both stellar and extended objects. The color scale indicates the GSC 2.3 density ranging from 0 to 60 thousand objects per square degree. The image resolution is a smooth version of the densities obtained from the HTM counts.
## Gaia’s

### End-of-life astrometric performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V &lt; 10 mag</td>
<td>&lt; 7</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>&lt; 7</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>&lt; 7</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V = 15 mag</td>
<td>&lt; 25</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>&lt; 24</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>&lt; 12</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V = 20 mag</td>
<td>&lt; 300</td>
<td>328.7</td>
<td>&lt; 300</td>
<td>292.8</td>
<td>&lt; 100</td>
<td>97.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2011 astrometric error budget table  

(JdB, 2011)
• Chapter III: Relativistic astrometry
At these accuracies light does not propagate in straight lines and time does not beat the same everywhere: photons follow geodesics and physical time is only that of the observer.

Welcome to the land of General Relativity where Prof. Einstein rules!!
Astrometry becomes fully relativistic

\[ \frac{d\bar{l}}{d\sigma} - \bar{l}^j \bar{l}^i \partial_i h_{0j} - \frac{\partial_0 h_{00}}{2} = 0, \]
\[ \frac{d\bar{l}}{d\sigma} - \bar{l}^k \bar{l}^i \bar{l}^j \partial_0 h_{ij} \frac{1}{2} + \bar{l}^i \bar{l}^j \left( \partial_i h_{kj} \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\partial_k h_{ij}}{2} \right) + \bar{l}^k \bar{l}^i \frac{\partial_i h_{00}}{2} = 0. \]

\[ h_{00}^{(a)} = \left( \frac{2GM^{(a)}}{c^2 r^{(a)}} \right) (1 + \bar{v}^{(a)} \cdot \hat{n}^{(a)}) + O(1/c^4), \]
\[ h_{jk}^{(a)} = \left( \frac{2GM^{(a)}}{c^2 r^{(a)}} \right) (1 + \bar{v}^{(a)} \cdot \hat{n}^{(a)}) \delta_{jk} + O(1/c^4), \]
\[ h_{0j}^{(a)} = w_j^{(a)} + O(1/c^4), \]

RAMOD model

(Crosta’s talk)
The spatial light direction $\vec{l}^i$ is expressed in terms of its Euclidean counterpart, $n^i$, at the satellite location in the gravitational field of the solar system. (Crosta & Vecchiato, 2010)

Fundamental result of the REMAT Unit (Klioner coordinator, part of the DPAC CU3)

Generalization to the full problem in Crosta (2011, submitted)

(Klioner, Kopeikin 1992)
• Chapter IV: Astrometry is “local” anyway!
Such a striking improvement in space-borne astrometry allows astronomers to reach the scale of the Milky Way,

yet this is not enough to directly probe the mega-parsecs of the extragalactic distance scale, which would require angular accuracies in the nano-arcsec regime, beyond today’s and near future technology.

Space astrometry continues to share this limitation to the local universe with its ground-based traditions!
On the other hand, improvements in cosmological models have recently produced quantitative predictions on the present day consequences of the evolution of the Universe, something that is often referred to as Local Cosmology.

These “cosmological consequences” could manifest themselves as characteristic signatures in the main components of the Milky Way (halo, disk) or as small perturbations in the gravity in action in our own Solar System. As we will see, some of these perturbations are well within the reach of Gaia’s astrometry. Therefore astrometry can, once again, contribute direct and model independent tests not just of astrophysics, but, this time, of cosmology!
Chapter V: Is there Cosmology at the local scale?
“Local” Cosmology?

How is it possible to investigate with “local measurements” on the nature of the Universe ......

Does Local Cosmology really exists?
Gaia and Local Cosmology

- Afterglow Light Pattern 400,000 yrs.
- Dark Ages
- Development of Galaxies, Planets, etc.
- Dark Energy Accelerated Expansion
- Inflation
- Quantum Fluctuations
- 1st Stars about 400 million yrs.
- Big Bang Expansion 13.7 billion years

Z₀ = 0
DE and DM from the Observations

- Universe evolution is characterized by different phases of expansion

Dark Matter

25%

Ordinary Matter

5%

Radiation

Expansion of universe

ACS discovers two distant Type Ia supernovae

Farthest supernova

Deceleration

Acceleration

Big Bang

10 billion years ago

5 billion years ago

Today

Dark Energy

70%
Main observational evidences for Dark Energy

After 1998, more and more data have been obtained confirming this result.

Coincidence issue: observer existing right when $\Omega_m \sim \Omega_{\Lambda}$

CMB (WMAP)

SNe Ia

LSS
But sometimes big DM haloes at higher $z$!
Is there cosmology at zero redshift? - I
Fossils, "streams", in phase space as a result of a simulation, developed in the context of a CDM model, of the merging of 100 dwarf galaxies with the halo of the Milky Way. Different colors mark different merging events. $R_{gc}$ is the distance from the galactic center (in kpc) and $RV_{gc}$ is the radial velocity.

Is there cosmology at zero redshift? -II
ARCHEOLOGY OF THE DISK:
ROTATION-METALLICITY CORRELATION IN THE TICK DISK OF THE MILKY WAY

Origin of the thick disk
Heating of a pre-existing thin disk from accretion of a dwarf galaxy? Or, secular evolution (migration from radial diffusion and churning (from a bar) + heating (going to higher z from galactic plane) – velocity dispersion?

27,000 dwarf FGK (from a SDSS-GSC-II kinematical survey)

$(V\phi)$ vs. $[\text{Fe/H}]$ : $50 \pm 5$ km/s /dex

*(Spagna, MGL et al., 2010)*
The MW disk: Evidence of a rotation-metallicity correlation

We analyzed a new kinematic survey that includes accurate proper motions derived from SDSS DR7 positions, combined with multiepoch measurements from the GSC-II database (Lasker et al. 2008).

By means of the SDSS spectro-photometric data ($T_{\text{eff}}$, log $g$, [Fe/H], and radial velocities), we estimate photometric parallaxes for a sample of $27,000$ FGK (sub)dwarfs with [Fe/H] $< -0.5$, which we adopted as tracers of the 3D space distribution ($X$, $V$, [Fe/H]) of the thick disk and inner halo within a few kiloparsecs of the Sun.

We find evidence of a kinematics-metallicity correlation, $\Delta V_p/\Delta$[Fe/H] $\approx 40 \pm 50$ km s$^{-1}$ dex$^{-1}$, amongst thick disk stars located between 1 kpc and 3 kpc from the plane and with abundance $-1 < \text{[Fe/H]} < -0.5$. No significant correlation is present for [Fe/H] $> -0.5$.

Figure 1. The disk and halo populations are apparent in the velocity-metallicity distribution of 20,251 stars with $|z| = 1.0-3.0$ kpc and [Fe/H] $< -0.3$. The dashed line indicates the thick disk rotation, $V_p = 173$ km s$^{-1}$ at $|z| = 1.24$ kpc. The box defines the region, shown in Fig. 2, in which the thick disk population dominates.

Figure 2. Iso-density contours of 13,108 metal-poor stars from the SDSS-GSC-II catalog $-1.0 < \text{[Fe/H]} < -0.3$ and $|z| = 1.0-3.0$ kpc. White crosses mark the ridge line of the maximum likelihood $V_p$ vs. [Fe/H]. Notice the bimodal distribution with a secondary maximum at [Fe/H] = 0.55, close to the value of the mean metallicity of the thick disk, and the peak at [Fe/H] = 0.38 due to thin disk stars.

As in Bond et al. (2010), no correlation appears in the transition region between the thin and thick disks ([Fe/H] > -0.5). Instead, a shallow but clear slope appears for [Fe/H] < -0.5, which indicates that the metal-rich stars tend to rotate faster than the metal-poor ones.

Spagna, MGL, et al 2010
HIGH RES. N-BODY SIMULATIONS: STAR MIGRATION IN THE GALACTIC DISK

|z| > 1.5 kpc, 8 kpc < R < 10 kpc, T = 5 Gyr

Full dynamical simulation

Injecting GSCII-SDSS errors

We wish to identify structures, tracing them by the individual measurement of a sufficiently large number of stars up to 10 Kpc from the Sun. Let’s assume to position each star with a 10% accuracy. Then,

With distance \( d \) in pc and parallax \( \pi \) in arcsec

\[
\frac{\sigma_d}{d} = \frac{\sigma_\pi}{\pi} = 0.1
\]

\( \sigma_\pi = 10^{-5} \text{arcsec} = 10 \mu\text{arcsec}! \)
One more simple calculation in...“astrometric cosmology”

\[ H_0 r = v_{\text{rec}} \]

\[ V_{\text{rot}} = 4.74 \mu r \]

\[ V_{\text{rec}} = V_{\text{rot}} \]

\[ \mu = \frac{H_0}{(5 \times 10^6)} \]

\[ \mu = 2 \times 10^{-5} \text{arcsec/year, } H_0 = 100 \text{ km/sec/Mpc} \]

\[ \mu = 1.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{arcsec/year, } H_0 = 75 \text{ km/sec/Mpc} \]

Cosmological rotation of Quasars...?
The scalar field which dominated inflation

The small variations in the CMB temperature were *the* seeds that led to the formation of structures and eventually to galaxies. Those ripples are thought to be the result of fluctuations in a *scalar field* that *drove* inflation.

There is the possibility that this inflation field, which couples with Gravity, fades with time. Today (*z*=0), the residue of that field would manifest itself through very small deviations from Einstein’s General Relativity. Manifestations of this fossil field would constitute a powerful proof of our **understanding of the cosmological past and its role in a possible new theory of Gravity**.

Astrometric observations are a very powerful tool to trace back the presence of this scalar field, through **accurate measurements** of the deflection of the light at the Solar System **coming from bright stellar sources**.
Cosmological tests of GR in the low gravity of the SS

With a Gaia-like observing strategy, using $10^6$ stars, 1 year of data ($\sim$ 1 billion observations), 10 $\mu$arcsec errors $\Rightarrow$ estimation error on PPN parameter $\gamma \sim 10^{-6} - 10^{-7}$.

(10$^{-7}$ found in Vecchiato, MGL, et al. 2003, A&A)

Cosmological models predict deviations from $\gamma = 1$ in the range: $10^{-5} – 10^{-7}$

E.g.: measuring $|1 - \gamma| = 3 \times 10^{-7} \Rightarrow 3\sigma$ deviation from General Relativity detected!
The burden is on the Standard Model of Particle Physics to break the degeneracy and find the exotic particles in the lab!
Are extragalactic observations and cosmology probing the breakdown of General Relativity at large (IR) scales?

Ricci Scalar

A new relativity?
\textbf{Ideal fluid description}

\textbf{f(R) – Gravity}

[SC, Nojiri, Odintsov, Troisi PLB 2006]

\textbf{Scalar tensor Gravity}

\textbf{R: Ricci scalar}

[Capozziello & Francaviglia Gen. Relativ Gravit 40, 2008 and references therein]

\textbf{Realistic cosmology can be realized via modified gravity}
Cosmological implications

- Dark Matter and Dark Energy were introduced to explain experimental data, therefore: \( f(R) \) - modified gravity theories for:
  - Fit to observations at cosmological scales
  - \( f(R) \) gravitation within Solar System

Rationale:

replacement in Einsten’s field equations of source terms [new particles] on one side with geometry terms [curvature] on the other side
Higher Order Theories of Gravity

If \( f(R) = R \), Einstein’s equations are immediately recovered.

\[
A = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ f(R) + \mathcal{L}_{\text{(matter)}} \right]
\]

\[
f'(R) R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} f(R) g_{\alpha\beta} = f'(R)^{\mu\nu} (g_{\alpha\mu} g_{\beta\nu} - g_{\alpha\beta} g_{\mu\nu}) + T_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{(matter)}}
\]
**f(R) gravitation within Solar System**

*Parametrized Post-Newtonian limit of fourth order gravity inspired by scalar-tensor gravity*

- Exploiting the fourth order gravity – scalar tensor gravity analogy, the previous PPN formalism can be generalized to \( f(R) \) Lagrangians

- The PPN parameters are recovered through \( R \) dependent quantities via the relations

\[
\gamma_R^{\text{PPN}} - 1 = -\frac{f''(R)^2}{f'(R) + 2f''(R)^2}
\]

\[
\beta_R^{\text{PPN}} - 1 = \frac{1}{4}\frac{f'(R) \cdot f''(R)}{2f'(R) + 3f''(R)^2} \frac{d\gamma_R^{\text{PPN}}}{d\varphi}
\]

[Capozziello & Troisi 2005]

**Local measurements ⇒ cosmological constraints!**
Astrometric Cosmology?
Thus ... Local Cosmology really requires the micro-arcsecond of arc accuracy level!

Perhaps exceeding Gaia capabilities in certain circumstances.
But, what is a “micro-arc-second”? Is it actually accessible? .................

Hey, It’s the technology baby!

It is improved technology that has allowed space astrometry to access these levels of accuracy, unthinkable just a few years back!
Chapter VI: Technology: really reach the μas and deal with systematic errors
what is one 1 μarcsec?

1 μ-arcsec = 4.8 \times 10^{-12} \text{ radians}

0\arcsec,000001 = \text{micro(μ) arc sec}

800,000,000 \text{ km} \sim 5.5 \text{ au}

From Jupiter!!
Micro-arcsec, pico radians, picometers......

Think also of the thermal stabilities that need to be reached over volumes of tens of cubic meters! [Like in the case of the Gaia payload.]

Recall that atomic dimensions are of the order of 1 Angstrom = $10^{-10}$ m
Gaia’s astrometric payload!!

2 off-axis telescopes
1.45 x 0.5 m² aperture
35 m focal length

basic angle = 106.5°

common focal plane, 106 CCDs
(1 Gigapixel)
0.93 x 0.42 m²
Stabilizing macrostructures to the picometer level is possible!

~12 pm error (1 $\sigma$) over 3 hours achieved

Also, JPL efforts toward SIM

(M. Shao et al..) which evolved, in part, into NEAT (F. Malbet et al 2011)
Beyond Gaia

Gaia-like astrometric solution: formulation

\[
\text{Observed location of image in pixel stream} = \left( \begin{array}{c}
\text{Star position on sky} \\
\text{instrument Attitude} \\
\text{CCD / pixel offset} \\
\end{array} \right) + \text{noise}
\]

5 astrometric parameters
\( (\alpha_0, \delta_0, \pi_0, \mu_\alpha, \mu_\delta) \)
(radial velocity assumed known)

Quaternion \( q(t) \) represented by cubic spline coefficients

Geometric calibration

White gaussian, known \( \sigma \)

Symbolically:
\[ O = f(S, A, C) + n \]
The GAME mission main features:

- Multiple epoch observation sequence
- Differential measurements on superposed fields
- Systematic error control
- Precision on image location / separation
- The Fizeau interferometer / coronagraph
- Elementary astrometric performance
- Photon limited mission performance

PPN parameter $\gamma$ to $\sim 10^{-6} - 10^{-8}$.
Fields F1, F2 measured close to and away from the Sun:
2+ measurements epochs to modulate deflection
(Sun “switched” on/off)

Calibration fields: low deflection in all epochs

Deflection $\delta \psi$ measurement

Calibration fields, $\delta \psi \cong 0$
Multiple field multiplex on telescope + detector

Epoch 1 ↔ 2: deflection modulation switched between field pairs

Star separation variation: deflection $\psi +$ instrument [base angle]

Additional epochs (calibration): low deflection on all fields

Gai’s talk
• Chapter VII: Conclusions
Conclusions (1/4)

- Role of astrometry revamped thanks to technology (access to space). Thanks also to the Gaia mission the next decade or two we will know more of the real story of DM and DE and the validity of GR: astrometric cosmology!
The hope is that the actual geometry of the Universe, which astrometry might help unveiling, will regain ordinary matter, the baryons of which we are made, some of its role that the story told today by the Concordance Model assigns almost entirely to the mystery of dark matter and dark energy!
Conclusions (3/4)

• From the technological standpoint, space astrometry appears to provide the most direct “access” to the light bending properties (Toward the repetition of the 1919 Dayson, Eddington, ... experiment, but 21° century technology)

• However, precision must improve from Gaia to the one-μas level, and the same holds for accuracy: differential fully 2-D measurements
Conclusions (4/4)

• The next astrometric mission will have all-sky access availability as a requirement; the possibility to allocate observing time with magnitude; completeness, on the other hand, is not going to be a driving design parameter.

• Differential measurements call for a relatively cheap, although highly rewarding, payload/satellite design.

• Accuracy highly scalable with size of payload (telescope): tested with a balloon flight at, say, $10^{-4}$ then pushed to the $10^{-8}$ for an ESA medium class mission.