### **Examples of Studies on Enzymatic Reactivity**

#### **Pedro Alexandrino Fernandes**

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry University of Porto Portugal





# EXAMPLE: MECHANISM OF ACTIVATION OF PLP-DEPENDENT ENZYMES

PLP is a **cofactor** that plays a vital role in human physiology and has associated over 3% of all enzymes, comprising over 140 different enzymatic activities.









(Enzyme)



Lys PLP

PDB: **70DC** – Internal Aldimine

Counts, K. G.; Wong, I.; Oliveira, M. A. *Biochemistry* **2007**, *46*, 379-386.









Cook, P. D.; Holden, H. M. Journal of Biological Chemistry 2008, 283, 4295 -4303



-4303

#### (Enzyme) Lys Н O NH2 Prod Ð H<sub>2</sub>O Lys Enzyme H H Prod Ν H. .**o**⊖ (Enzyme) o⊖ Lys Internal NH2 .⊕ N Aldimine aa H Decarboxylation Racemization (Enzyme) Æ Transamination Lys .**o**⊖ $\beta$ -Elimination NH2 Retro Aldol Cleavage Others External Ð Aldimine Cook, P. D.; Holden, H. M. Journal of Biological Chemistry 2008, 283, 4295 Ĥ

-4303



# **COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS**

#### **Computational Details**





Dufe, V.; Ingner, D.; Heby, O.; Khomutov, A.; Persson, L.; Al-Karadaghi, S. Biochem J 2007, 405, 261-268. - PDB entry 2000.

#### **Cluster Model**



CLUSTER MODEL = Substrate + First shell of residues (at least). Full QM, 100-200 atoms

Theoretical Level –DFT (108 atoms)			
Geometry	M06 / 6-31G(d) & B3LYP/6-31G(d)		
Energy	M06/6-311++G(3df,3pd) & M06-2X/6-311++G(3df,3pd) & B3LYP/6-311++G(3df,3pd) & IEFPCM (ε=4)		

J Am Chem Soc, 129, 1378, 2007 J Chem Theory Comput, 7, 1177, 2011

## QM/QM Model



J Phys Chem B, 114, 12972, 2010. J Chem Theory Comput, 6, 2770, 2010. Acc Chem Res, 41, 689, 2008

# QM/QM Model



QM/QM MODEL 8-10 Å around substrate.

Two-level QM for geometry. One-level QM for Energy.

#### SINGLE POINT ENERGY

Layer	Theoretical Level	
High Level	M06 / 6-311++G(3df,2pd)	
Low Level	B3LYP/ 6-31G(d) + IEFPCM (e=4)	

#### **GEOMETRY OPTIMIZATION**

Layer	Theoretical Level	Nº atoms
High Level	B3LYP/ 6-31G(d)	66
Low Level	AM1	604

J Phys Chem B, 114, 12972, 2010. J Chem Theory Comput, 6, 2770, 2010.

Acc Chem Res, 41, 689, 2008







## EXAMPLE 1: COMMON REACTION MECHANISM FOR PLP-DEPENDENT ENZYMES





#### PDB: **70DC** – Internal Aldimine





#### **Imine formation**



Inactive enzyme

J Am Chem Soc 2011, 133, 15496

#### **Imine formation**



Inactive enzyme

#### **Imine formation**



#### **Imine formation**



Inactive enzyme

PLP bonded to Lys69



PLP bonded to Lys69

Inactive enzyme

#### **Imine formation**







PLP bound to Lys69

Carbinolamine intermediate

Inactive enzyme













PLP bound to Lys69

Carbinolamine intermediate

Inactive enzyme

#### **Imine formation**



J Am Chem Soc 2011, 133, 15496

#### **Imine formation**



J Am Chem Soc 2011, 133, 15496

#### Formation of the Internal Aldimine complete



J Am Chem Soc 2011, 133, 15496

## Transimination




#### 1F3T-External Aldimine



#### **70DC-Internal Aldimine**





#### 1F3T-External Aldimine



#### **Transimination reaction**



**Internal Aldimine** 

#### **Transimination reaction**



**Internal Aldimine** 













#### **Transimination reaction – Step 2**



Activation Energy
Reaction Energy

#### **Transimination reaction – Step 2**



Activation Energy
Reaction Energy





#### **Transimination reaction**



# **Complete Potential Energy Profile**



J Am Chem Soc 2011, 133, 15496





This study provides for the first time an **atomic level portrait** of the formation of the imine intermediate and the transimination reaction in a enzymatic environment.





This study provides for the first time an **atomic level portrait** of the formation of the imine intermediate and the transimination reaction in a enzymatic environment.



The mechanism should be **common to all PLP dependent enzymes** that have amino acids as substrates.

J Am Chem Soc 2011, 133, 15496





This study provides for the first time **an atomic level portrait** of the formation of the imine intermediate and the transimination reaction in a enzymatic environment.

The mechanism should be **common to all PLP dependent enzymes** that have amino acids as substrates.



The results explain many unrelated experimental results :

- The conserved Cys360 is required for the activation of the enzymes
- The transimination reaction is favored by Tyr389 or by a conserved water molecule.
- The role of a hypothetical conserved water molecule has been revealed



# EXAMPLE 2: HYDROLYSIS OF GLYCOSIDIC BONDS BY β-GAL

## $\beta$ -galactosidase

Catalyses both the hydrolysis and transglycosylation of polyssacharides

Marked preference for lactose as substrate

Allolactose is the major transglycosylation product

Great interest for the food and cosmetic industries

**OBJECTIVE: Understand the catalytic mech and transglyc stereospecificity** 





# **COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS**

#### Hydrolysis of glycosidic bonds- The Hamiltonian



Small Model used to compare DFT functionals using the 6-311++G(2d,2p) basis set

Small Model used to benchmark selected DFT functionals against CCSD(T)/CBS calculations

#### **Benchmarking of DFT Functionals**

Very large differences (over 7 Kcal/mol) between E<sub>act</sub> of different "reliable" DFT functionals



#### J Comp Chem, 29, 2565, 2008

Theor Chem Acc, 119, 119, 2011

#### **Benchmarking of DFT Functionals**

Very large differences (over 7 Kcal/mol) between E<sub>act</sub> of different "reliable" DFT functionals

Small differences (lower than 2.5 kcal/mol) in  $E_{R}$ 



Theor Chem Acc, 119, 119, 2011

### **Benchmarking of DFT Functionals**

Very large differences (over 7 Kcal/mol) between E<sub>act</sub> of different "reliable" DFT functionals

Small differences (lower than 2.5 kcal/mol) in  $E_{R}$ 

Catalytic effect (H-bond) very similar when measured with different functionals ( < 0.6 Kcal/mol)





#### J Comp Chem, 29, 2565, 2008

Theor Chem Acc, 119, 119, 2011



















the "shallow mode"















#### **MADAMM - Flexible Docking**







#### 23 March 2007 (created 22 February 2007)

Computational capacity has increased dramatically over the last decade making possible the use of more sophisticated and computationally intensive methods in computer-assisted drug design. However, dealing with receptor flexibility in docking methodologies is still a thorny issue. The main reason behind this difficulty is the large number of degrees of freedom that have to be considered in this kind of calculations. However, neglecting it, leads to poor docking results in terms of binding pose prediction in real-world settings.

In order to overcome these limitations we present an automated procedure called MADAMM that allows flexibilization of both the receptor and the ligand during a Multi stAged Docking with an Automated Molecular Modeling protocol. Generally speaking the software uses standard docking software and molecular mechanics force fields in the core process and a set of scripts that automates the process without the intervention of the user. In order to simplify the use of MADAMM a graphical interface has also been developed.

The results obtained with this methodology show that this protocol can lead to dramatic improvements in both sampling and scoring over conventional single rigid protein docking. We observe that the orientation of particular residues, at the interface between the protein and the ligand, have a crucial influence on the way they interact.

viewed as a valuable tool to predict the binding of ligands in receptors where no experimental

At the moment the results indicate that MADAMM can be viewed as a powerful tool for investigating ligand binding poses, allowing the researcher to understand the importance of protein flexibility during the binding processes of the ligands. Moreover this program can be

MADAMM allows to flexibilize the substrate and several residues of the protein in order to get the correct binding between both.

#### é 2007 Cerqueira et al.

data is available.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the prior to provide the prior to p





Proteins, 74, 192, 2009.








J Chem Theory Comput, 6, 421, 2010

J Phys Chem B, 115, 14752, 2011.





Geom – QM/MM

B3LYP/6-31G(d)  $\approx$  50 atoms

Amber FF ≈ 2700 atoms



J Chem Theory Comput, 6, 421, 2010

J Phys Chem B, 115, 14752, 2011.



J Chem Theory Comput, 6, 421, 2010

J Phys Chem B, 115, 14752, 2011.









 $K_{cat}$  (water) = 10<sup>-15</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, 37 kcal/mol, t<sub>1/2</sub>=22 Myears  $K_{cat}$ (β-gal)= 10<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, 15 kcal/mol t<sub>1/2</sub>= 0,01 s

Theor Chem Acc, 122, 283, 2009



Ó.

Nucleophile

### Reactants Acid / base ОН он но Oendocyclic **C**<sub>2</sub> но `R `n Canomeric











J. Chem. Theory Comput, 6, 421, 2010.



J. Chem. Theory Comput, 6, 421, 2010.



J. Chem. Theory Comput, 6, 421, 2010.









Theor Chem Acc, 122, 283, 2009



Theor Chem Acc, 122, 283, 2009



J. Chem. Theory Comput, 6, 421, 2010.

### **Flux of Information**



J. Chem. Theory Comput, 6, 421, 2010

J. Phys. Chem. B, 115, 14752, 2011.



Computational enzymology can give atomic-level insight into reaction mechanisms

It can be used both to rationalize experimental results and to predict phenomena difficult/inaccessible to experiments

Further methodologic developments are needed to, e.g., include sampling over multiple enzyme conformations in the simulations.

### **Examples of Studies on Enzymatic Reactivity**

### **Pedro Alexandrino Fernandes**

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry University of Porto Portugal

